## Case 4:22-cr-00332-JSW Document 4 Filed 09/02/22 Page 1 of 1

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

FILED

United States of America,	Case No. 22 - C2 - 00352 - JSW SEP - 2 2022
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIANORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ) OAKLAND OFFICE
Jointed Singh Wavaich  Defendant(s).	) )
Trial Act from 69 02 22 to 10 11 continuance outweigh the best interest of the p	ord on 09 02 22, the court excludes time under the Speedy and finds that the ends of justice served by the bublic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance v See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(	vould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. i).
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to	mplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact property adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ablished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance veraling into account the exercise	would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance v counsel's other scheduled case See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(	would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv).
Failure to grant a continuance verse recessary for effective preparate See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(	would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time tion, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv).
disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the time limits for a preliminary extending the 30-day time period	ant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt ne court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending y hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for od for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Ama -
DATED: 9/2/2022	
	DONNA M. RYU
11/10	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED	
Attorney for Defendan	nt Assistant United States Attorney